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1. Scope of the Review

We have reviewed the “Sustainability Full Report 2012” (the “Report”) prepared by MITSUI & CO., LTD. (the “Company”). The purpose of our review was to provide limited assurance from an independent practitioner about whether the quantitative environmental information (electricity consumption, CO₂ emissions, water consumption, freight volumes, and specific consumption in transportation operations) in respect of the domestic non-consolidated operations of the Company for the period from April 1, 2011 to March 31, 2012, included in pp. 63-64 and 68 of the Report was accurately measured and calculated, with reference to the Global Reporting Initiative (“GRI”) Sustainability Reporting Guidelines (Version 3), in accordance with the calculation methods adopted by the Company.


The quantitative environmental information of the Report is the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to provide our limited assurance with respect to the review of the quantitative environmental information performed on the Report from an independent practitioner.

3. Summary of Review

To obtain an adequate and valid standard of basis for providing limited assurance with respect to our conclusions, we performed our review with reference to the International Standard on Assurance Engagements 3000 (issued by the International Federation of Accountants in December 2003) and the Proposed Environmental Report Review Standard (issued by the Japanese Ministry of Environment in March 2004).

The review procedures performed for certain of the quantitative environmental information for the period from April 1, 2011 to March 31, 2012, included in pp. 64-65 and 69 of the Report consisted of 1) agreeing information to summary tables and supporting documents on a sample basis; 2) interviewing the responsible personnel and the persons in charge; 3) reviewing and agreeing information to the relevant minutes, and the Company’s regulations, and so on; 4) site visits; and 5) comparing information with other available supporting internal and external materials.

4. Conclusion

On the basis of the review procedures described in the preceding paragraph, nothing has come to our attention that caused us to believe the quantitative environmental information for the period from April 1, 2011 to March 31, 2012, included in pp. 64-65 and 69 of the Report was not accurately measured or calculated, with reference to the GRI Sustainability Reporting Guidelines (Version 3), in accordance with the calculation methods adopted by the Company.